STA291 Fall 2009

1

LECTURE 5 10 SEPTEMBER 2009

Itinerary

- Graphical Techniques for Interval Data (mostly review)
- Describing the Relationship Between Two Variables
- Art and Science of Graphical Presentations

Review: Graphical/Tabular Descriptive Statistics

3

- Summarize data
- Condense the information from the dataset
- Always useful: Frequency distribution
- Interval data: Histogram (Stem-and-Leaf?)
- Nominal/Ordinal data: Bar chart, Pie chart

Data Table: Murder Rates

| Alabama | 11.6 | Alaska | 9 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Arizona | 8.6 | Arkansas | 10.2 |
| California | 13.1 | Colorado | 5.8 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Connecticut | 6.3 | Delaware | 5 |
| Connecticut D.C. | 6.3 78.5 | Delaware Florida | 5 8.9 |
| Connecticut D.C. Georgia | 6.3 78.5 11.4 | Delaware Florida Hawaii | 5 8.9 3.8 |

• Difficult to see the "big picture" from these numbers

• Try to condense the data...

Frequency Distribution

A listing of intervals of possible values for a variable
And a tabulation of the number of observations in each interval.

| Murder Rate | Frequency |
|-------------|-----------|
| 0 – 2.9 | 5 |
| 3 - 5.9 | 16 |
| 6 - 8.9 | 12 |
| 9 - 11.9 | 12 |
| 12 - 14.9 | 4 |
| 15 - 17.9 | 0 |
| 18 – 20.9 | 1 |
| > 21 | 1 |
| Total | 51 |

Frequency Distribution

- Use intervals of same length (wherever possible)
- Intervals must be mutually exclusive: Any observation must fall into one and only one interval
- Rule of thumb:

If you have *n* observations, the number of intervals should be about \sqrt{n}

| Frequency, Relative Frequency, and Percentage Distribution | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Murder Rate | Frequency | Relative Frequency | Percentage | | |
| 0 - 2.9 | 5 | .10 (= 5 / 51) | 10 (= .10 * 100%) | | |
| 3 - 5.9 | 16 | .31 (= 16 / 51) | 31 (= .31 * 100%) | | |
| 6 - 8.9 | 12 | .24 | 24 | | |
| 9 - 11.9 | 12 | .24 | 24 | | |
| 12 – 14.9 | 4 | .08 | 8 | | |
| 15 - 17.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 18 – 20.9 | 1 | .02 | 2 | | |
| > 21 | 1 | .02 | 2 | | |
| Total | 51 | 1 | 100 | | |
| | | | | | |

Frequency Distributions

• Notice that we had to group the observations into intervals because the variable is measured on a continuous scale

• For discrete data, grouping may not be necessary (except when there are many categories)

Frequency and Cumulative Frequency

• Class Cumulative Frequency: Number of observations that fall in the class and in smaller classes

• Class Relative Cumulative Frequency: Proportion of observations that fall in the class and in smaller classes

Cumulative Frequencies & Relative Frequencies

----- (10

| Murder Rate | Frequency | Relative Frequency | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Relative Frequency |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 – 2.9 | 5 | .10 | 5 | .10 |
| 3 - 5.9 | 16 | .31 | 21 (= 16 + 5) | .41 (=.31 +.10) |
| 6 - 8.9 | 12 | .24 | 33 (= 12 + 21) | .65(=.24+.41) |
| 9 - 11.9 | 12 | .24 | | |
| 12 – 14.9 | 4 | .08 | | |
| 15 - 17.9 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 18 – 20.9 | 1 | .02 | | |
| > 21 | 1 | .02 | | |
| Total | 51 | 1 | | |

Histogram (Interval Data)

- Use the numbers from the frequency distribution to create a graph
- Draw a bar over each interval, the height of the bar represents the relative frequency for that interval
- Bars should be touching; i.e., equally extend the width of the bar at the upper and lower limits so that the bars are touching.





Bar Graph (Nominal/Ordinal Data)

- Histogram: for *interval* (quantitative) data
- Bar graph is almost the same, but for *qualitative data*
- Difference:
 - The bars are **usually separated** to emphasize that the variable is categorical rather than quantitative
 - For nominal variables (no natural ordering), order the bars by frequency, except possibly for a category "other" that is always last

Pie Chart (Nominal/Ordinal Data)

15

• First Step: Create a Frequency Distribution

| Highest Degree | Frequency (Number of Responses) | Relative Frequency |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Grade School | 15 | |
| High School | 200 | |
| Bachelor's | 185 | |
| Master's | 55 | |
| Doctorate | 70 | |
| Other | 25 | |
| Total | 550 | |





17

• Pie Chart: **Pie is divided into slices; The area of each** slice is proportional to the frequency of each class.

| Highest Degree | Relative Frequency | Angle (= Rel. Freq. * 360°) |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Grade School | .027 (= 15/550) | $9.72(=.027*360^{\circ})$ |
| High School | .364 | 131.04 |
| Bachelor's | .336 | 120.96 |
| Master's | .100 | 36.0 |
| Doctorate | .127 | 45.72 |
| Other | .045 | 16.2 |



Stem and Leaf Plot

- Write the observations ordered from smallest to largest
- Each observation is represented by a stem (leading digit(s)) and a leaf (final digit)
- Looks like a histogram sideways
- Contains more information than a histogram, because every single measurement can be recovered

Stem and Leaf Plot

20

- Useful for small data sets (<100 observations)
 Example of an *EDA*
- Practical problem:
 - What if the variable is measured on a continuous scale, with measurements like 1267.298, 1987.208, 2098.089, 1199.082, 1328.208, 1299.365, 1480.731, etc.
 - Use common sense when choosing "stem" and "leaf"

Stem-and-Leaf Example: Age at Death for Presidents

21

| PRESIDENT | AGE | PRESIDENT | AGE | PRESIDENT | AGE |
|------------|-----|-----------|------|------------|-----|
| Washington | 67 | Fillmore | - 74 | Roosevelt | 60 |
| Adams | 90 | Pierce | 64 | Taft | 72 |
| Jefferson | 83 | Buchanan | - 77 | Wilson | 67 |
| Madison | 85 | Lincoln | 56 | Harding | 57 |
| Monroe | 73 | Johnson | 66 | Coolidge | 60 |
| Adams | 80 | Grant | 63 | Hoover | 90 |
| Jackson | 78 | Hayes | 70 | Roosevelt | 63 |
| Van Buren | 79 | Garfield | 49 | Truman | 88 |
| Harrison | 68 | Arthur | 56 | Eisenhower | 78 |
| Tyler | 71 | Cleveland | 71 | Kennedy | 46 |
| Polk | 53 | Harrison | 67 | Johnson | 64 |
| Taylor | 65 | McKinley | 58 | Nixon | 81 |
| | | | | Reagan | 93 |





Sample/Population Distribution

- Frequency distributions and histograms exist for the population as well as for the sample
- Population distribution vs. sample distribution
- As the sample size increases, the sample distribution looks more and more like the population distribution

Describing Distributions

• Center, spread (numbers later)

Symmetric distributions
 Bell-shaped or U-shaped

Not symmetric distributions:
 Left-skewed or right-skewed



Describing the Relationship Between Two Nominal (or Ordinal) Variables

Contingency Table

- Number of subjects observed at all the combinations of possible outcomes for the two variables
- Contingency tables are identified by their number of rows and columns
- A table with 2 rows and 3 columns is called a 2 x 3 table ("2 by 3")

2 x 2 Contingency Table: Example

28

- 327 commercial motor vehicle drivers who had accidents in Kentucky from 1998 to 2002
- Two variables:
 - wearing a seat belt (y/n)
 - accident fatal (y/n)

| | | Accide | | |
|------|-----|--------|-----|-----|
| | | Yes | No | |
| Seat | Yes | 30 | 212 | 242 |
| Belt | No | 33 | 52 | 85 |
| | | 63 | 264 | 327 |

2 x 2 Contingency Table: Example, cont'd.

- How can we compare fatality rates for the two groups?
- Relative frequencies or percentages within each row
- Two sets of relative frequencies (for *seatbelt=yes* and for *seatbelt=no)*, called **row relative frequencies**
- If seat belt use and fatality of accident are related, then there will be differences in the row relative frequencies

Row relative frequencies

- Two variables:
 - wearing a seat belt (y/n)
 - accident fatal (y/n)

| | | Accide | | |
|------|-----|--------|----|-----|
| | | Yes | No | |
| Seat | Yes | | | 100 |
| Belt | No | | | 100 |
| | | | | 100 |

Describing the Relationship Between Two Interval Variables

Scatter Diagram

- In applications where one variable depends to some degree on the other variables, we label the dependent variable *Y* and the independent variable *X*
- Example:
 - Years of education = X

Income = Y

• Each point in the scatter diagram corresponds to one observation

Scatter Diagram of Murder Rate (Y) and Poverty Rate (X) for the 50 States



3.1 Good Graphics ...

33

- ... present large data sets concisely and coherently
- ... can replace a thousand words and still be clearly understood and comprehended
- ... encourage the viewer to compare two or more variables
- ... do not replace substance by form
- ... do not distort what the data reveal
- ... have a high "data-to-ink" ratio



3.2 Bad Graphics...

- ...don't have a scale on the axis
- ...have a misleading caption
- ...distort by stretching/shrinking the vertical or horizontal axis
- ...use histograms or bar charts with bars of unequal width
- ...are more confusing than helpful



Attendance Survey Question #5

- On an index card
 - Please write down your name and section number
 - Today's Question: