STA 291 Fall 2009

#### LECTURE 27 TUESDAY, 1 December

1

• This week's online homework due on the next Mon.

..... ( 2 )

- Practice final is posted on the web as well as the old final.
- Suggested Reading
  - Study Tools or Textbook Chapter 11
- Suggested problems from the textbook: 11.1 – 11.6

## **Choice of Sample Size**

3

• We start with the version of the margin of error that includes the population standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , setting that equal to *B*:  $B = z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

$$n = \left[\sigma^2\left(\frac{z^2}{B^2}\right)\right]$$
, where  $\left[ \right]$  means "round up".

# Example

- For a random sample of 100 UK employees, the mean distance to work is 3.3 miles and the standard deviation is 2.0 miles.
- Find and interpret a 90% confidence interval for the mean residential distance from work of all UK employees.
- About how large a sample would have been adequate if we needed to estimate the mean to within 0.1, with 90% confidence?

# **Chapter 11 Hypothesis Testing**

- Fact: it's easier to prove a parameter isn't equal to a particular value than it is to prove it is equal to a particular value
- Leads to a core notion of hypothesis testing: it's fundamentally a *proof by contradiction*: we set up the belief we wish to disprove as the **null** hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) and the belief we wish to prove as our alternative hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>) (A.K.A. research hypothesis)

# Analogy: Court trial

6

 In American court trials, jury is instructed to think of the defendant as innocent:

H<sub>0</sub>: Defendant is innocent

• District attorney, police involved, plaintiff, etc., bring every shred evidence to bear, hoping to prove

H<sub>1</sub>: Defendant is guilty

• Which hypothesis is correct?

• Does the jury make the right decision?

### Back to statistics ...

- Two hypotheses: the null and the alternative
- Process begins with the assumption that the null is true
- We calculate a test statistic to determine if there is enough evidence to infer that the alternative is true

#### Two possible decisions:

- Conclude there is enough evidence to reject the null, and therefore accept the alternative.
- Conclude that there is not enough evidence to reject the null
- Two possible errors?

#### What about those errors?

#### **Two possible errors:**

- Type I error: Rejecting the null when we shouldn't have [ P(Type I error) = α ]
- Type II error: Not rejecting the null when we should have [ P(Type II error) =  $\beta$  ]

Suppose that the director of manufacturing at a clothing factory needs to determine whether a new machine is producing a particular type of cloth according to the manufacturer's specifications, which indicate that the cloth should have a mean breaking strength of 70 pounds and a standard deviation of 3.5 pounds. A sample of 49 pieces reveals a sample mean of 69.1 pounds.

n

"True?" μ

r

#### Here,

H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu = 70$  (what the manufacturer claims) H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu \neq 70$  (our "confrontational" viewpoint)

#### Other types of alternatives: $H_1: \mu > 70$ $H_1: \mu < 70$

## **Hypothesis Testing**

Everything after this—calculation of the test statistic, rejection regions, α, level of significance, *p*-value, conclusions, etc.—is just a further quantification of the difference between the value of the test statistic and the value from the null hypothesis.

Suppose that the director of manufacturing at a clothing factory needs to determine whether a new machine is producing a particular type of cloth according to the manufacturer's specifications, which indicate that the cloth should have a mean breaking strength of 70 pounds and a standard deviation of 3.5 pounds. A sample of 49 pieces reveals a sample mean of 69.1 pounds. Conduct an  $\alpha = .05$  level test.

 $\Rightarrow z = \frac{69.1 - 70}{3.5/} = -1.80$ 

# **Hypothesis Testing**

The *level of significance* is the maximum probability of incorrectly rejecting the null we're willing to accept—a typical value is  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

The **p**-*value of a test* is the probability of seeing a value of the test statistic at least as contradictory to the null as that we actually observed, if we assume the null is true.

#### • Here,

H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu = 70$  (what the manufacturer claims) H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu \neq 70$  (our "confrontational" viewpoint) Our test statistic:

$$z = \frac{69.1 - 70}{3.5 / \sqrt{49}} = -1.80$$

Giving a *p*-value of .0359 x 2 = .0718. Because this exceeds the significance level of  $\alpha$  = .05, we don't reject, deciding there isn't enough evidence to reject the manufacturer's claim

#### Attendance Question #27

15

Write your name and section number on your index card.

**Today's question:**